Induction of labour for big babies



Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.



Big babies (over 4000g or 9lb) can be injured at birth. Inducing labour early, before the baby grows too big, may reduce this trauma.

However, if done too early, induction can lead to babies being born prematurely and with immature organs. Also, estimating a baby's weight before birth is not very accurate, so induction will sometimes be unnecessary.



We found four studies (randomised trials), involving 1190 non-diabetic pregnant women with suspected large babies.

This infographic shows some of the results of the review comparing pregnant women who were induced at 37 to 40 weeks with women who waited for labour to start naturally.

What's best for babies?

Big babies have a higher chance of being injured during birth. Does inducing labour make a difference to the number of babies who are injured?

Any fracture

The baby may fracture a bone during birth, e.g. the collarbone.

4 out of 1000 babies	Induction
20 out of 1000 babies	Waiting

Induction of labour decreased fracture by about 16 babies per 1000.

Brachial plexus injury

Damage to the network of nerves that send signals to the baby's shoulder, arm and hand.

1 out of 1000 babies	Induction
3 out of 1000 babies	Waiting

There was no clear difference between induction of labour and waiting.

Low Apgar score

This assesses the baby's health. A low score shows that the baby may need medical attention.

7 out of 1000 babies	Induction
5 out of 1000 babies	Waiting

There was no clear difference between induction of labour and waiting.

Shoulder dystocia

When the baby's shoulder becomes stuck during birth.

41 out of 1000 babies	Induction
68 out of 1000 babies	Waiting

Induction of labour decreased shoulder dystocia by about 27 babies per 1000.

Birthweight



On average, babies weighed 178g less when labour was induced compared with waiting.

Low arterial cord pH

This shows that the baby hasn't had enough oxygen during birth.

29 out of 1000 babies	Induction
29 out of 1000 babies	Waiting

There was no difference between induction of labour and waiting.



Induction of labour reduced the number of babies who had shoulder dystocia or any fracture.

There were no clear differences between groups for brachial plexus injury, low Apgar score, or low arterial cord blood pH.



NHS
National Institute for
Health Research

This project was supported by the National Institute for Health Research, via Cochrane Infrastructure and Cochrane programme Grant (138905) funding to Cochrane Programs and Childbrith. The views and opinions expressed therein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Systematic Reviews Programme, NHR, NHS or the Department of Health and the Commission of the Co

Induction of labour at or near term for suspected fetal macrosomia Boulvain M, Irion O, Dowswell T, Thornton JG

Full review: http://ow.ly/9Kbd300ts9W

How good is the evidence?

In all trials women and health professionals knew in advance whether induction was happening or not, which may have affected the results.

The quality of the evidence was high for any fracture, moderate for caesarean section & cord pH, and low for instrumental delivery, brachial plexus injury, & Apgar score.



What's best for women?

A big baby is more likely to need delivering by caesarean section or instrumental delivery (using ventouse or forceps).

Caesarean section carries risks such as infection for the mother and breathing difficulties for the baby. The mother may take longer to recover from a caesarean section than from a vaginal birth.

An instrumental delivery increases the chance of the mother having a vaginal tear, blood clot, or incontinence.

Does inducing labour make a difference to the number of women needing a caesarean section or instrumental delivery?

Caesarean section



Induction of labour made no clear difference to caesarean section.

Instrumental delivery

130 out of 1000 women	Induction
152 out of 1000 women	Waiting

Induction of labour made no clear difference to instrumental delivery.

Perineal damage

26 out of 1000 women	Induction
7 out of 1000 women	Waiting

Induction of labour may increase the number of women with severe perineal tears.



Induction of labour made no clear difference to the number of women who needed a caesarean section or an instrumental delivery.

There is limited evidence that more women in the induction of labour group had severe perineal damage.



There appear to be benefits from induction, but there may also be some disadvantages. The option should be discussed with parents when their baby is suspected to be big.

We need more trials to find out the best time to induce labour towards the end of pregnancy, and how to identify big babies more accurately.

 $\begin{array}{ll} Infographic \ by \ Helen \ West, Research \ Associate, \ Cochrane \ Pregnancy \ and \ Childbirth \ E \ h.west@liverpool.ac.uk \ T \ @CochranePCG \ pregnancy.cochrane.org \end{array}$